

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****NOV 30 2006**

DOCKET No. RUW-101

REMARKS

The Examiner's Office Action dated August 31, 2006 has been received and carefully considered and the following describes an agreement reached telephonically with Examiner Beth McCormick Ewoldt and her Supervisor Christopher R. Tate on November 16, 2006.

With respect to the Examiner's Office Action, Applicant has amended Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 10. Claims 1, 7 and 10 are the independent claims. Claims 3-5 and 13-17 depend upon Claim 1. Claim 6 depend upon Claim 5, Claim 8 depends upon Claim 7 and Claim 9 depends upon Claim 8. Furthermore Claims 11-12 depend upon Claim 10. Claims 1, 3-17 remain pending.

As agreed to telephonically, Applicant has amended independent Claims 1, 7 and 10 so that the preamble now includes the word "effective" after "A composition", which is fully supported by Applicant's original specification and wherein no new matter whatsoever has been added. Furthermore, the phrase "molecularly distilled" has been repositioned in Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 10 to clarify Applicant's unique and non-obvious features of the claims.

Furthermore, the word "in" has been added in amended Claims 1, 7 and 10 to comport with required grammar, and the word "3-omega" has been replaced with the synonymous term "omega-3" in claims 4 and 5 for consistency. The word "fish" has been inserted between the terms "omega-3" and "oils" in amended Claim 4 to clarify Applicant's unique and non-obvious features of the claims. These amendments are fully supported by Applicant's original specification without any new matter whatsoever has been added.

The amendments to Applicant's claims as described above have been merely made to provide further clarity to Applicant's unique and non-obvious

AMENDMENT

- 6 -

DOCKET No. RUW-101

features and in no way are intended to narrow the scope of any of Applicant's claims.

Applicant has complied with all of the Examiners' requirements according to the interview with Examiner Beth McCormick Ewoldt and her Supervisor Christopher R. Tate on November 16, 2006 when agreement was reached that with these amendments to Applicant's claims would put this application in condition for allowance. Applicant thanks both Examiner Beth McCormick Ewoldt and her Supervisor Christopher R. Tate for their courtesy during this interview and their thorough examination of Applicant's unique and non-obvious invention.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1, 3-17 under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) has been overcome and respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

Since Applicant previously had four independent claims and twenty-five dependent claims, and now has three independent claims and fourteen dependent claims no fees are due.

If somehow there are any fees incurred by this Amendment Letter, the Commissioner is hereby requested to contact the below attorney for payment.

Respectfully submitted,



Nancy Lord, MD
Reg. No. 45,462
Nancy Lord, Ltd.
Attorney at Law
1970 Leslie Rd., No. 220
Pahrump, Nevada 89060
Tel: 775-253-0137
E-mail: nancylord@mindspring.com
Fax: 775-582-1301

AMENDMENT

- 7 -